

Patient Outcomes Associated with Implementation of a Multiplex Assay for the Identification of Staphylococcus aureus Bloodstream Infections

Jihye Kim, PharmD, BCPS¹, Poonam Mathur, DO², Michael Sasso, MD⁴, Michael Davies, PhD³, Trevor Caldwell, MD³, David Craft, PhD³

¹Department of Pharmacy, ²Department of Medicine, ³Department of Pathology, Penn State Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, PA, ⁴Department of Medicine, Lancaster General Hospital, Lancaster, PA

BACKGROUND

→ Staphylococcus aureus blood stream infections are associated with significant morbidity and mortality. Timely initiation of appropriate antibiotics is associated with improved patient outcomes and decreased health care costs.

Antimicrobial stewardship programs (ASPs) provide prospective audits and feedback to promote appropriate antimicrobial use. Recent studies have shown that rapid detection of bacteria in blood cultures improves patient outcomes in institutions with active ASPs.

→ However, limited data is available evaluating the impact of rapid diagnostic tests on morbidity and mortality without additional ASP efforts.

→ The purpose of this study was to assess the impact of rapid identification of *S. aureus* in patients with bloodstream infections without changing the current ASP activities at our medical center.

OBJECTIVES

Primary: evaluate time to de-escalation of antimicrobial therapy in context of rapid multiplex assay results for *S. aureus*

→ Secondary: determine time to microbiological cure, length of hospital stay, Intensive Care Unit (ICU) stay, and mortality

METHODS

Single-center, pre-post, quasi-experimental study

 \rightarrow Inclusion criteria: patients > 18 years old with a S. aureus blood stream infection

- Study design:
 - → Preintervention: September 2012 June 2013
 - BioFire Diagnostics (Salt Lake City, UT) FilmArray and Blood Culture Identification (BCID) Panel implemented in June 2014
 - Intervention: July 2014 May 2015
- → Definitions:

Time to de-escalation: time from initiation of broad- spectrum antibiotic to 1) initiation of optimal antibiotic or 2) discontinuation of unnecessary antibiotics

Statistical analysis: descriptive statistics using GraphPad Prism version 6 (La Jolla, CA)

RESULTS

Table 1. PATIENT CH

Age, mean ± SD Male, n (%) Comorbidities, n (%) None **Diabetes Mellitus** CKD **Chronic Liver Disease** Immunocompromised CVD Other **Concomitant Infections, n (%)** None Lung Urine Blood SSTI **Bone and Joint** IAI C. difficile Other **APACHE II Score**, mean ± SD Previous S. aureus Bacteremi S. aureus in blood culture, n (9 **MRSA MSSA De-escalation of Antimicrobials** Primary Service, n (%) **Internal Medicine** MICU Surgical **Family Practice** Hem/Onc Urology Neurology Cardiology Trauma ID Consult, n (%)

CKD: Chronic Kidney Disease; CVD: Cardiovascular Disease; d: Days; ED: Emergency Department; Hem/Onc: Hematology/oncology; IAI: Intra-abdominal Infection; MICU: Medical Intensive Care Unit; n; Number; NS: Not Significant; SD: Standard Deviation

ARACTERISTICS					
	Preintervention (n = 40)	Intervention (n = 70)	p-value		
	57 ± 15	61 ± 18	0.2899		
	22 (55)	52 (74)	0.0566		
	1 (1.5) 15 (22.4) 4 (6) 7 (10.4) 16 (23.9) 7 (10.4) 17 (25.4)	2 (1.3) 32 (20.5) 15 (9.6) 5 (3.2) 30 (19.2) 34 (21.8) 38 (24.3)	1.0000 0.8580 0.4435 0.0468 0.4718 0.0584 0.8669		
	$ \begin{array}{c} 14 (31.8) \\ 8 (18.2) \\ 5 (11.4) \\ 2 (4.5) \\ 5 (11.4) \\ 6 (13.6) \\ 0 (0) \\ 2 (4.5) \\ 2 (4.5) \\ 25 + 7 \\ \end{array} $	28 (30.8) 9 (9.9) 15 (16.5) 1 (1.1) 18 (19.8) 12 (13.2) 2 (2.2) 3 (3.3) 3 (3.3) 20 + 9	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0000\\ 0.1796\\ 0.6062\\ 0.2477\\ 0.3287\\ 1.0000\\ 1.0000\\ 0.6608\\ 0.6608\\ 0.1225\end{array}$		
. n (%)	$\begin{array}{c} 23 \pm 7 \\ 0 \ (0) \end{array}$	3 (4)	0.5524		
<u>, (70)</u>	19 (48) 21 (52)	33 (47) 37 (53)	1.0000 1.0000		
s, n (%)	31 (77.5)	44 (63)	0.1386		
	$\begin{array}{c} 15 \ (37.5) \\ 5 \ (12.5) \\ 6 \ (15) \\ 0 \ (0) \\ 10 \ (25) \\ 0 \ (0) \\ 2 \ (5) \\ 1 \ (2.5) \\ 1 \ (2.5) \\ 1 \ (2.5) \end{array}$	34 (48.6) 5 (7.1) 10 (14.3) 3 (4.3) 11 (15.7) 1 (1.4) 1 (1.4) 3 (4.3) 2 (2.9)	0.3202 0.4919 1.0000 0.5524 0.3133 1.0000 0.2988 1.0000 1.0000		
	25 (63)	51 (73)	0.2883		

FIGURE 1. TIME TO DE-ESCALATION



	Preintervention	Intervention
Time to Blood Culture	3 (1 – 6)	2 (1 – 4)
Clearance, d, median (IQR)		
ICU Stay, d, median (IQR)	7 (3 – 14)	3 (2 – 14)
Hospital LOS, d, median (IQR)	9.5 (6 – 21)	9.0 (6 – 15)

d: Days; Hrs: Hours; ICU: Intensive Care Unit; LOS: Length of Stay; IQR: Interquartile Range

Figure 2. ALL CAUSE MORTALITY



Preintervention group had a higher mortality rate compared to the intervention group (23 vs 10%).

 \rightarrow Of those 16 patients, 9 patients had MRSA bacteremia and 7 patients had MSSA bacteremia.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

JK funded by BioFire to present this data.

Corresponding contact information Jihye Kim, Pharm.D. jkim6@hmc.psu.edu

SUMMARY

→ A total of 110 patients with culture confirmed Methicillin Sensitiveand Methicillin Resistant-S. aureus bacteremia were included in the final analysis: 40 patients in the pre-intervention group and 70 patients in the intervention group.

→ Chart review of pre- and post-intervention patient data revealed decreased time to de-escalation of empiric antimicrobial therapy (67 vs 44 hours, P < 0.0001) for patients with *S. aureus* bacteremia in the intervention group.

✤ Mortality (23 vs 10%), ICU stay (7 vs 3 days), length of hospital

Preintervention Intervention

p-value	
0.2108	
0.1513	
0.3976	

stay (10 vs 9 days), and time to microbiological cure (3 vs 2 days) were lower in the intervention group.

CONCLUSIONS

→ Outcome data for patients with *S. aureus* bacteremia in our medical center indicates that implementation of a multiplex assay for rapid identification of positive blood culture bottles decreases time to de-escalation of empiric antimicrobial therapy without any additional effort of the ASP.

→ Additional benefits are recognized in mortality, length of ICU stay, length of hospital stay, and time to microbiological cure.

→ In addition to an appropriate in vitro analysis of methods, clinical microbiologists may want to consider using patient outcome data in their laboratory evaluations of multiplex test platforms to potentially justify the expense and return on investment for this type of syndromic testing.

REFERENCES

1. Albur et al. Factors influencing the clinical outcome of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2012; 31:295-301. 2. Goff et al. Using rapid diagnostic tests to optimize antimicrobial selection in antimicrobial stewardship programs. Pharmacotherapy 2012: 32: 677-687. 3. Huang et al. Impact of rapid organism identification via matrix assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight combined with antimicrobial stewardship team intervention in adult patients with bacteremia and candidemia. Clin Infect Dis 2013; 57:1237-1245. 4. Holtzman et al. Assessment of impact of peptic nucleic acid fluorescence in situ hybridization for rapid identification of coagulase-negative staphylococci in the absence of antimicrobial stewardship intervention. J Clin Micro 2011; 49: 1581-1582. 5. Kim et al. Outcome of vancomycin treatment in patients with methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia. Antimicro Agents and Chemother 2008; 52:192-197. 6. Nagel et al. Impact of antimicrobial stewardship intervention on coagulase-negative Staphylococcus blood

cultures in conjunction with rapid diagnostic testing. J Clin Micro 2014; 52: 2849-2854.

7. Perez et al. Integrating rapid pathogen identification and antimicrobial stewardship significantly decreases hospital costs. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2013; 137:1247-1254.

8. Wisplinghoff et al. Nosocomial bloodstream infections in US hospitals: analysis of 24179 cases from a prospective nationwide surveillance study. Clin Infect Dis 2004; 39:309-317.